

CLAIMS

1. A method on an information processing system for automatic replacement of object classes, comprising:
 - performing static analysis on a program containing a plurality of objects in order to determine constraints on the transformations that can be applied and to detect unused functionality in one or more of the objects to be replaced;
 - analyzing the plurality of objects to detect usage patterns of functionality in the one or more objects replaced; and
 - generating customized classes based upon the static analysis and the usage patterns detected.
2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the performing static analysis on a program containing a plurality of objects in order to determine constraints includes determining constraints which are type constraints.
3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the plurality of objects is a plurality of container objects.
4. The method according to claim 1, wherein the analyzing the plurality of objects includes instrumenting the plurality of objects to detect usage patterns of functionality in the one or more objects replaced.
5. The method according to claim 1, further comprising rewriting bytecode of an application to use the generated classes while providing transparency in the program's observable behavior during the replacement of the objects.
6. The method according to claim 1, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to determine constraints by determining if the type of one or more objects to be replaced is a supertype of a type referenced in a cast expression.

7. The method according to claim 1, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to determine type-correctness constraints by determining if the type of one or more objects to be replaced is a supertype of a type referenced in a cast expression.
8. The method according to claim 1, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to determine interface-compatibility constraints in one or more of the objects to be replaced.
9. The method according to claim 1, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to preserve run-time behavior for casts and `instanceof` operations for one or more of the objects to be replaced.
10. The method according to claim 1, wherein the performing static analysis includes using point-to sets analysis to determine where references to classes in allocation sites, declarations, casts and `instanceof`-expressions are modifiable to refer to one or more of the objects to be replaced.
11. The method according to claim 1, wherein the performing static analysis includes using point-to sets analysis to determine where references to container classes in allocation sites, declarations, casts and `instanceof`-expressions are modifiable to refer to one or more of the objects to be replaced.
12. The method according to claim 1, wherein the generating customized classes does not require a programmer to supply any additional types and additional external declarations for the customized classes.

13. The method according to claim 1, where the generating customized classes based upon the usage patterns detected includes:

creating a class *CustomC* which contains methods and fields that are identical to those in class *C* for each customizable container *C* with superclass *B*, wherein if *B* is not customizable, then *CustomC*'s superclass is *B*, otherwise *CustomC*'s superclass is *CustomB*;

introducing a type C^\top for each customizable container *C*, and both *C* and *CustomC* are made a subtype of C^\top wherein type C^\top contains declarations of all methods in *C* that are not declared in any superclass of *C*; and

introducing a type C^\perp is introduced for each customizable container *C*, and C^\perp is made a subclass of both *C* and *CustomC*, wherein type C^\perp contains no methods, wherein C^\top and C^\perp are intermediate types not provided as output during the generation of custom classes.

14. The method according to claim 13, wherein the generation customized classes based upon the usage patterns detected includes:

determining equivalence classes of declaration elements and expressions that must have the same type;

computing a set of possible types for each of the equivalence classes using an optimistic algorithm, wherein this algorithm associates a set S_E of types with each equivalence class *E*, which is initialized as follows:

associating a set S_E with an equivalence class that contains an allocation site expression $E = \text{new } C$, and initializing S_E with the types *C* and *CustomC*; and

associating a set S_E with an equivalence class that does not contain any allocation site expressions, and initializing S_E with all types except the auxiliary types C^\top and C^\perp , wherein C^\top and C^\perp are intermediate types not provided as output during the generation of custom classes.

.15. The method according to claim 14, further comprising:

removing a set S_D from any type that is not a subtype of a type that occurs in S_E for each pair of equivalence classes D, E such that there exists a type constraint $D \leq E$, and

removing S_E is removed any type that is not a supertype of a type that occurs in S_E for each pair of equivalence classes D, E such that there exists a type constraint $D \leq E$;

wherein the removing of S_D and S_E is performed repeatedly until a fixed point is reached.

16. A computer readable medium containing programming instructions for automatic replacement of object classes, the programming instructions comprising:
 - performing static analysis on a program containing a plurality of objects in order to determine constraints on the transformations that can be applied and to detect unused functionality in one or more of the objects to be replaced;
 - analyzing the plurality of objects to detect usage patterns of functionality in the one or more objects replaced; and
 - generating customized classes based upon the static analysis and the usage patterns detected.
17. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the performing static analysis on a program containing a plurality of objects in order to determine constraints includes determining constraints which are type constraints.
18. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the plurality of objects is a plurality of container objects.
19. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the analyzing the plurality of objects includes instrumenting the plurality of objects to detect usage patterns of functionality in the one or more objects replaced.
20. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, further comprising rewriting bytecode of an application to use the generated classes while providing transparency in the program during the replacement of the objects.
21. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to determine constraints by determining if a type of in one or more objects to be replaced is a supertype of a type referenced in a cast expression.

22. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to determine type-correctness constraints by determining if a type of in one or more objects to be replaced is a supertype of a type referenced in a cast expression.

23. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to determine interface-compatibility constraints in one or more of the objects to be replaced.

24. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the performing static analysis further comprises performing static analysis to preserve run-time behavior for casts and `instanceof` operations for one or more of the objects to be replaced.

25. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the performing static analysis includes using point-to sets analysis to determine where references to classes in allocation sites, declarations, casts and `instanceof`-expressions are modifiable to refer to one or more of the objects to be replaced.

26. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the performing static analysis includes using point-to sets analysis to determine where references to container classes in allocation sites, declarations, casts and `instanceof`-expressions are modifiable to refer to one or more of the objects to be replaced.

27. The computer readable medium according to claim 16, wherein the generating customized classes does not require a programmer to supply any additional types and additional external declarations for the customized classes.

28. The computer readable medium according to claim 27, wherein the generation customized classes based upon the usage patterns detected includes:

creating a class *CustomC* which contains methods and fields that are identical to those in class *C* for each customizable container *C* with superclass *B*, wherein if *B* is not customizable, then *CustomC*'s superclass is *B*, otherwise *CustomC*'s superclass is *CustomB*;

introducing a type C^T for each customizable container *C*, and both *C* and *CustomC* are made a subtype of C^T wherein type C^T contains declarations of all methods in *C* that are not declared in any superclass of *C*; and

introducing a type C^\perp is introduced for each customizable container *C*, and C^\perp is made a subclass of both *C* and *CustomC*, wherein type C^\perp contains no methods, wherein C^T and C^\perp are intermediate types not provided as output during the generation of custom classes.

29. The computer readable medium according to claim 28, wherein the generation customized classes based upon the usage patterns detected includes:

determining equivalence classes of declaration elements and expressions that must have the same type;

computing a set of possible types for each of the equivalence classes using an optimistic algorithm, wherein this algorithm associates a set S_E of types with each equivalence class *E*, which is initialized as follows:

associating a set S_E with an equivalence class that contains an allocation site expression $E = \text{new } C$ is initialized with the types *C* and *CustomC*; and

associating a set S_E with an equivalence class that does not contain any allocation site expressions is initialized with all types except the auxiliary types C^T and C^\perp , wherein C^T and C^\perp are intermediate types not provided as output during the generation of custom classes.

30. The computer readable medium according to claim 29, further comprising:
- removing S_D from any type that is not a subtype of a type that occurs in S_E for each pair of equivalence classes D, E such that there exists a type constraint $D \leq E$; and
 - removing S_E is removed any type that is not a supertype of a type that occurs in S_D for each pair of equivalence classes D, E such that there exists a type constraint $D \leq E$;
- wherein the removing of S_D and S_E is performed repeatedly until a fixed point is reached.

31. An information processing system with programming instructions for automatic replacement of object classes, comprising:

means for performing static analysis on a program containing a plurality of objects in order to determine constraints on the transformations that can be applied and to detect unused functionality in one or more of the objects to be replaced;

means for analyzing the plurality of objects to detect usage patterns of functionality in the one or more objects replaced; and

means for generating customized classes based upon the static analysis and the usage patterns detected.